Intramolecular Sila-Matteson Rearrangement: A General Access to Silylated Heterocycles

Cyril François,[†] Thomas Boddaert,[†] Muriel Durandetti,^{*,†} Olivier Querolle,[‡] Luc Van Hijfte,[‡] Lieven Meerpoel,[‡] Patrick Angibaud,[‡] and Jacques Maddaluno^{*,†}

CNRS UMR 6014 "COBRA" & FR 3038 "INC3M", Université de Rouen and INSA de Rouen, 76821 Mont St. Aignan Cedex, France, and Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Janssen, Campus de Maigremont, BP615, 27106 Val de Reuil, France

muriel.durandetti@univ-rouen.fr; jmaddalu@crihan.fr

Received March 8, 2012



A series of new silvlated heterocycles has been efficiently prepared using an intramolecular silicon version of the Matteson rearrangement, providing two isomers of binuclear heterocycles. This method applies to a large variety of substrates, a direct relationship between the Hammett constants of the aromatic substituents and the isomer ratio being observed. Complementary experiments suggest that a common penta-organosilicate species is involved.

Replacing a carbon atom with a silicon can be regarded as a way to develop innovative new drugs.¹ Despite the large similarities between these elements, significant advantages can be returned.² Thus, the effect of a C/Si swap on the physiological and biological properties of known drug skeletons has been widely investigated in the past two decades,³ and several bioactive silacycles have been synthesized. For example, sila-haloperidol **1**, a dopamine receptor antagonist, displays higher subtype selectivity and a different metabolism pattern compared to its carbon analogue.⁴ The tetrahydrosilaisoquinoline 2^5 showed psychotropic activity, while the disila-bexarotene 3^6 was studied for its retinoid agonist potency (Figure 1). If several compounds contain a heteroatom-silicon bond, heterocyclic molecules bearing a tetraorganosilicon moiety incorporated in a cycle are much less described.⁷

LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 8 2074–2077

ORGANIC

[†]Université de Rouen and INSA de Rouen.

[‡] Janssen.

⁽¹⁾ Meanwell, N. A. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 2529–2591.

^{(2) (}a) Mills, J. S.; Showell, G. A. *Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs* **2004**, *13*, 1149–1157. For an example of a more stable silicon analogue than its parent all-carbon compound, see: (b) Díez-González, S.; Paugam, R.; Blanco, L. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 3298–3307.

^{(3) (}a) Bains, W.; Tacke, R. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev.* 2003, 6, 526–543. (b) Franz, A. K. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev.* 2007, 10, 654–671. (c) Tacke, R.; Bertermann, R.; Burschka, C.; Dörrich, S.; Fischer, M.; Müller, B.; Meyerhans, G.; Schepmann, D.; Wünsch, B.; Arnason, I.; Bjornsson, R. *ChemMedChem* 2012, 7, 523–532.

^{(4) (}a) Tacke, R.; Popp, F.; Müller, B.; Theis, B.; Burschka, C.; Hamacher, A.; Kassack, M. U.; Schepmann, D.; Wünsch, B.; Jurva, U.; Wellner, E. *ChemMedChem* **2008**, *3*, 152–164. (b) Johansson, T.; Weidolf, L.; Popp, F.; Tacke, R.; Jurva, U. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2010**, *38*, 73–83.

⁽⁵⁾ Lukevics, I. S. E.; Germane, S.; A. Zablotskaya, A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 1997, 33, 234–238.

⁽⁶⁾ Bauer, J. B.; Lippert, W. P.; Dörrich, S.; Tebbe, D.; Burschka, C.; Christie, V. B.; Tams, D. M.; Henderson, A. P.; Murray, B. A.; Marder, T. B.; Przyborski, S. A.; Tacke, R. *ChemMedChem.* **2011**, *6*, 1509–1517.

^{(7) (}a) Rousseau, G.; Blanco, L. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 7951–7993.
For recent examples: (b) Blaszykowski, C.; Brancour, C.; Dhimane, A. L.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 1674–1678. (c) Furukawa, S.; Kobayashi, J.; Kawashima, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 14192–14193. (d) Hernández, D.; Nielsen, L.; Lindsay, K. B.; López-García, M. A.; Bjerglund, K.; Skrydstrup, T. *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 3528–3531. (e) Kirpichenko, S. V.; A. I. Albanov, A. I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2010, *695*, 663–666.

^{(8) (}a) Aoyama, T.; Sato, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Shirai, H. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, 153, 193–207. (b) Hwu, J. R.; King, K. Y. Chem.—Eur. J. **2005**, 11, 3805–3815. (c) Alberico, D.; Rudolph, A.; Lautens, M. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 775–781.



Figure 1. Examples of bioactive silacycles.

Increasing interest in silvlated derivatives and a lack of general synthetic procedures prompted us to focus on the preparation of the hydrosilaquinoline 4a (Z = N-Boc) and silachroman 5a (Z = O) moieties, obtained previously in moderate yields and under drastic conditions.⁸ Our initial retrosynthetic route is outlined in Scheme 1: the expected products 4a and 5a could be formed by an intramolecular cyclization of the precursors 6 and 7, respectively. These substrates would in turn be prepared from aniline and phenol derivatives 8 and 9 in the presence of bis-(chloromethyl)dimethylsilane 10.

Scheme 1. Initial Synthetic Route for the Preparation of Hydrosilaquinoline 4a and Silachroman 5a

$\underset{z}{\overset{i}{\overset{j}{\overset{j}{\overset{j}{\overset{j}{\overset{j}{\overset{j}{j$		
4a : Z = N-Boc	6 : Z = N-Boc	8 : Z = N-Boc
5a : Z = O	7 : Z = O	9 : Z = O

The precursors **6** and **7** were prepared in good yields from **8** and **9** (72% and 82% yields, respectively). Then, the halogen-lithium exchange and subsequent nucleophilic substitution were performed at -40 °C using *n*-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran. Surprisingly, the intramolecular cyclization furnished not only the desired products **4a** and **5a** but also their regioisomers **4b** and **5b**.^{8a,9} In both series, the cyclization proceeds in good yield (about 85%) and low selectivity (**4a/4b** = 45:55 and **5a/5b** = 60:40, Scheme 2). Scheme 2. First Cyclization Attempts and Proposed Pentavalent Silicate Species



We hypothesized that a common pentaorganosilicate species **11** could explain this result. The latter would evolve by either migration of the aromatic ring (path a, Scheme 2) or the CH₂–Si bond (path b). Such a mechanism parallels the reactivity of the α -halosilanes with that of the α -haloboronic esters, classically employed in the Matteson rearrangement (Scheme 3).¹⁰



This reactivity has been previously proposed for silicon in the case of the nucleophilic addition of halide or alkoxide¹¹ and was briefly evoked for a carbon nucleophile.¹²

Next, various experimental conditions were screened.¹³ In tetrahydrofuran, none of the following parameters seemed to exert a significant influence on the ratio of the

Scheme 3. Matteson and Sila-Matteson Rearrangements

⁽⁹⁾ For previous syntheses of related compounds, see: (a) Miller, D. J.; Showell, G. A.; Conroy, R.; Daiss, J.; Tacke, R.; Tebbe, D. Amedis Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (U.K.), PCT Int. Appl. WO/2005/005443A1, 2005. (b) Greenlee, W. J.; Zhu, Z.; Asberom, T.; Huang, X.; Josien, H. B. Schering Corp. (USA), PCT Int. Appl. WO/2009/061699A1, 2009.

^{(10) (}a) Matteson, D. S. Chem. Rev. 1988, 89, 1535–1551. (b) Matteson, D. S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 10555–10607 and references cited therein. For recent applications, see: (c) Molander, G. A.; Hiebel, M.-A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4876–4879. (d) Porcel, S.; Bouhadir, G.; Saffon, N.; Maron, L.; Bourissou, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6186–6189. (e) Sonawane, R. P.; Jheengut, V.; Rabalakos, C.; Larouche-Gauthier, R.; Scott, H. K.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3760–3763. For recent silicon-based anion-relay rearrangements, see: (f) Smith, A. B., III; Tong, R.; Kim, W. S.; Maio, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8904–8907. (g) Zheng, P.; Cai, Z.; Garimallaprabhakaran, A.; Rooshenas, P.; Schreiner, P. R.; Harmata, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 5255–5260. (h) Smith, A. B., III; Hoye, A. T.; Martinez-Solorio, D.; Kim, W. S.; Tong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4533–4536.

^{(11) (}a) Corey, J. Y.; Corey, E. R.; Chang, V. H. T.; Hauser, M. A.; Lelber, M. A.; Reinsel, T. E.; Riva, M. E. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1051– 1060 and references cited therein. (b) Damrauer, R.; Danahey, S. E.; Yost, V. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7633–7634. (c) Sans, E. A.; Shechter, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1119–1122. (d) Hudrlik, P. F.; Abdallah, Y. M.; Kulkarni, A. K.; Hudrlik, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6552–6556. (e) Eisch, J. J.; Chiu, C. S. Heteroat. Chem. 1994, 5, 265– 274. (f) Hijji, Y. M.; Hudrlik, P. F.; Hudrlik, A. M. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1213–1214. (g) Allen, M. J.; Aprahamian, S. L.; Sans, E. A.; Shechter, H. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3561–3574.

^{(12) (}a) Shiragami, H.; Kawamoto, T.; Imi, K.; Matsubara, S.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 4009–4022. (b) Matsumoto, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. *Tetrahedron. Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6055–6058.

⁽¹³⁾ Comparative results are detailled in the Supporting Information.

isomers: (i) temperature; (ii) structure of the organometallic (*n*-BuLi, *t*-BuLi, MeLi, *i*-PrMgCl); (iii) halogen on the aromatic ring (iodine or bromine); (iv) leaving group on the silicon side chain (chlorine, iodine, mesylate, or tosylate). These observations suggested that the isomer ratio is mainly governed by the intrinsic reactivity of the pentaorganosilicate species **11** and, more precisely, by the respective stabilities of the Ar–Si and CH₂–Si bonds.¹⁴

Table 1. Aromatic Substituents Effect

⁴ 5 R 6 : X = 1 12 - 21	² X ¹ N Si Boc : X = Br	CI THF	4 5 R 4a, 22a -	$ \begin{array}{c} $	² Si 1 N Boc 2b - 31b
entry	R	starting material	cond. ^a	prod. $(\mathbf{a}/\mathbf{b})^b$	yields [%] ^c
1	Н	6	А	4a/4b	84
2	$5\text{-}\mathrm{CF}_3$	12	А	45:55 22a/22b 86:14	52
3	_	_	В	22a/22b	72
4	5-Cl	13	А	90:10 23a/23b	74
5	_	_	в	83:17 23a/23b	81
6	5-F	14	А	83:17 24a/24b	74
7	$4\text{-}\mathrm{CF}_3$	15	В	57:43 25a/25b 89:11	76
8	4-F	16	Α	26a/26b	47
9	_	_	В	78:22 26a/26b 82:18	82
10	4-MeO	17	В	27a/27b 61:39	79
11	5-MeO	18	В	28a/28b	73
12	$5\text{-}\mathrm{Me_2N}$	19	В	25:75 29a/29b 10:90	92
13	$4\text{-}\mathrm{CH}_3$	20	В	30a/30b	89
14	$5\text{-}\mathrm{CH}_3$	21	В	43:57 31a/31b 37:63	93

^{*a*} Condition A: *n*-BuLi (1.2 equiv), -40 °C. Condition B: *t*-BuLi (2.4 equiv), -78 °C. ^{*b*} Ratio **a**/**b** determined from the crude ¹H NMR spectra. ^{*c*} Isolated yields.

To evaluate the influence of the aromatic substituents on the ratio of the two isomers, the intramolecular cyclization was applied to substrates incorporating electron-rich or -deficient aromatics (Table 1).

For all substituents, the silylated heterocycles were obtained in good chemoselectivies and yields, as long as *n*-BuLi (Condition A) was replaced by *t*-BuLi (Condition B).¹⁵

We first noted that the electron-withdrawing effect of the trifluoromethyl or of the halogen substituents favors the heterolytic cleavage of the Ar–Si bond in the pentaorganosilicate species, increasing the amount of the **a**-isomer (entries 2–9). The delicate balance between inductive and mesomer effects probably explains that similar ratios were obtained with the 5-CF₃ (**12**) and 5-Cl (**13**) substituents (entries 2 to 4), as well as 4-CF₃ (**15**) and 4-F (**16**) (entries 7 to 9). Similarly, the 5-F (**14**) affords modest selectivity because of the contradictory resonance donor and inductive attractor effects it generates (entry 6).^{16a}

In contrast, the pure electron-donating character of substituents in the *para* position activates the aromatic ring and disfavors the Ar–Si bond heterolytic cleavage, leading to **b**-isomers predominantly (entries 11, 12, and 14). The low donating effect of a methyl substituent in the *meta* position leads to a negligible influence on the isomer ratio. Finally, and as pointed out by Schlosser et al.,^{16b} the competition between the attractor inductive and donor resonance effects associated to the *meta* methoxy group could explain that the **a**-isomer is favored in this case (entry 10). Such results suggest that the limiting step is the C–Si bond heterolytic cleavage rather than the displacement of the leaving group by the nucleophile. A similar observation was published before by Allen et al.^{11g}

It was tempting at this stage to correlate these results to the σ Hammett constants.¹⁷ Gratifyingly, the plot of the log(ratio **b**/**a**) against σ led to a satisfying linearity (correlation coefficient = 0.940) on a relatively large scale of σ values (-0.83 to +0.54) and for substituents in the *meta* as well as the *para* position (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Plot of log(b/a) against Hammett σ constants.

Next, a study on the influence of the protecting group borne by the nitrogen atom was undertaken (Table 2). If both carbamates **6** and **32** led to disappointing selectivities (entries 1-2), the mesyl and tosyl protected iodoanilines **33**

⁽¹⁴⁾ Couzijn, E. P. A; Ehlers, A. W.; Schakel, M.; Lammertsma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13634–13639.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Most of the time, *n*-BuLi (-40 °C, 1.2 equiv) was replaced by *t*-BuLi (-78 °C, 2.4 equiv) to avoid the Wurtz–Fittig type addition of the aryllithium on the cogenerated butyl halide: (a) Bailey, W. F.; Longstaff, S. C. *Chimica Oggi (Chemistry Today)* **2001**, *19*, 28–32. (b) Clayden, J. *Organolithiums: Selectivity for Synthesis*; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 2002; pp 111–142.

^{(16) (}a) Schlosser, M.; Maccaroni, P.; Marzi, E. *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 2763–2770. (b) Faigl, F.; Marzi, E.; Schlosser, M. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* 2000, 6, 771–777.

^{(17) (}a) Hammett, L. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1937**, 59, 96–103. (b) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem. Rev. **1991**, 91, 165–195.

and **34** afforded almost exclusively the **b**-isomer (entries 3-4). This striking effect can be understood in light of the recent results by Chataigner et al. suggesting that the efficient π -delocalization of the nitrogen lone pair occurring toward the carbonyl group of the carbamate fully deactivates the donor character of the nitrogen and therefore the aromatic ring.¹⁸ Note that, in the case of the mesyl protected substrate **34** (entry 4), a competitive deprotonation and subsequent intramolecular nucleophilic substitution of the chlorine afford a cyclic sila-sulfonamide,¹⁹ decreasing the yield in **37**. Thus, the nitrogen substituent can also be used as a lever to control the selectivity.



^{*a*} Ratio \mathbf{a}/\mathbf{b} determined from the crude ¹H NMR spectra. ^{*b*} Isolated yields.

In further attempts to evaluate the mechanism of this reaction and in particular the likelihood of a pentaorganosilicate species such as **11**, the siladihydrobenzofuran **38**²⁰ was reacted with the lithium carbenoid generated from chloroiodomethane and MeLi·LiBr at -100 °C (Scheme 4).^{12b}





Both isomers **5a** and **5b** were obtained in a ratio comparable to that of Scheme 2 (50:50 vs 60:40), hinting at the formation of a similar hypervalent species. However, it remains unclear at this stage whether **11** is a transition state or an intermediate in this transformation. Calculations are in progress to answer this question and to evaluate to which extent the Berry pseudorotation²¹ can influence the selectivity.

In summary, we have developed a general and efficient access to silylated heterocycles through an original "sila-Matteson" type rearrangement. The influence of both the aromatic ring substituents and nitrogen protecting group on the ratio of the isomers was established, as well as the verisimilitude of a mechanism involving a hypervalentsilicon species. In addition, a good correlation between the isomer ratio and the Hammett constants of the aromatic substituents could be established. We hope these observations will promote the application of this new reaction to little known families of silaheterocycles.

Acknowledgment. C.F. and T.B. are grateful to Janssen for PhD and Postdoctoral stipends. We also acknowledge the Région de Haute-Normandie and the CRUNCh interregional program for their support of our research projects.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental methods for the preparation of compounds 4-7 and 12-38 and their characterization. Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the new compounds (4-7 and 12-38). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Chataigner, I.; Panel, C.; Gérard, H.; Piettre, S. R. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3288–3290.

⁽¹⁹⁾ For structure, see Supporting Information.

⁽²⁰⁾ Böge, O.; Nietzschmann, E.; Heinicke, J. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1992, 71, 25–29.

^{(21) (}a) Holmes, R. R. Chem. Rev. **1990**, *90*, 17–31. (b) Couzijn, E. P. A; Slootweg, J. C.; Ehlers, A. W.; Lammertsma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 18127–18140. (c) Moberg, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, *50*, 10290–10292.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.